

3611

Patent and Trademark Office COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Ρ 09/062,255 04/17/98 **MARAVETZ** B0932/7088RF **EXAMINER** PM82/1001 RICHARD F GIUNTA VANAMAN, F WOLF GREENFIELD AND SACKS **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA

600 ATLANTIC AVENUE BOSTON MA 02210-2211

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/062,255

Frank Vanaman

Applicant(s)

Maravetz et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Group Art Unit 3611

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on April 26, 1999 (s € 1999 (s) X Responsive to communication (s) filed on April 26, 1999 (s) Expression (s) E	status request letteri
	itatus request tettery
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims 1-77	
,	are subject to restriction of election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	
received in this national stage application from the	he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	0.40
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	-540
Notice of informary atent Application, 1 10-132	
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09/062,255

Art Unit: 3611

Status Inquiry

1. Applicant's Status Request letter (paper No. 9, received April 26, 1999) is acknowledged. The application is pending in the Office.

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I, as shown in figures 1-2, Species II in figures 24-31, Species III in figures 32-37. No claims appear to be directed to species shown in figures 3-23 or 38-46.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 51, 54 and 74 appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/062,255 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Vanaman whose telephone number is (703) 308-0424. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-3597 or 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry; informal or draft communications may be faxed to the same number but should be clearly labeled "UNOFFICIAL" or "DRAFT")

FRANK B. VANAMAN Patent Examiner Art Unit 3611

Frank Vanaman September 29, 1999

J. J. SWANN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600